



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/724,995 | 12/01/2003 | Nancy Cam Winget | 72255/00010 | 3154 |
| 23380 | 7590 | 07/29/2008 | | |
| TUCKER ELLIS & WEST LLP 1150 HUNTINGTON BUILDING 925 EUCLID AVENUE CLEVELAND, OH 44115-1414 | | | | |
| EXAMINER | | | | |
| POPHAM, JEFFREY D | | | | |
| ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 2137 | | | | |
| NOTIFICATION DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 07/29/2008 | | ELECTRONIC | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@tuckerellis.com

mary.eme@tuckerellis.com

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/724,995

Applicant(s)

WINGET ET AL.

Examiner

JEFFREY D. POPHAM

Art Unit

2137

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JEFFREY D. POPHAM.

(3) _____.

(2) Larry Donovan.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 July 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Funk and Schneier.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed further possible amendments to the claims, including setup and tear-down of the tunnels, what happens when a shared secret exists versus when the shared secret was not previously established, and generation of the tunnel key from the PAC, to be considered upon official response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Jeffrey D Popham/
Examiner, Art Unit 2137

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required